US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

#### 128929 SHAUGHNESSY NO.

REVIEW NO.

#### EEB BRANCH REVIEW

DATE: IN	11/20/86	ַ 'טט'	2 4 SEP	1987
FILE OR REG. NO.				
PETITION OR EXP. PE	RMIT NO	nysisya any sajamany sany siana da sa	oo ka gaaraga ahaa ahaa gaaraa ahaa ahaa aha	
DATE OF SUBMISSION_	<u>- 1, 4, 12, 4, 1, 12, 12, 13, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14</u>	<del> </del>	10/08/8	6
DATE RECEIVED BY HE	D		11/12/8	6
RD REQUESTED COMPLE	TION DATE_	(11/21/	86) 03/02/8	7
EEB ESTIMATED COMPL	ETION DATE	(11/21/	86) 02/23/8	7
RD ACTION CODE/TYPE	OF REVIEW	11	0	and with the given state of the first of the
				and the second seco
TYPE PRODUCT(S): I	, D, H, F,	N, R, S	Plant Gro	wth Regulator
DATA ACCESSION NO(S	265646	, 265647	, 265648, 2	265649, 265650
265651, 265652		<del> </del>		
PRODUCT MANAGER NO.	R. Tayl	or (25)		
PRODUCT NAME(S)	Propel	SY-83		
***************************************			<u></u>	
COMPANY NAME	Brea A	gricultu	ral Service	es, Inc.
SUBMISSION PURPOSE	Submissio	n of Dat	a to Suppor	rt Proposed
-	Registrat	ion of U	se on a Var	riety of Crops
-		<u></u>		
SHAUGHNESSY NO.	CHEMIC	AL & FOR	MULATION	% A.I.
128929	Lactic Ac	id	the total the theorem is to be a first the start	80%
		·		the state of the s
	<del></del>	<del></del>	<del></del>	

#### Lactic Acid (Propel, SY-83)

#### Ecological Effects Topical Summaries

#### Effects on Birds

Three studies in three documents were evaluated under this topic. All three studies were acceptable for use in a hazard assessment.

Author	Date	Accession No.
Beavers	1986	265647
Beavers	1986	265649
Beavers	1986	265650

In order to establish the toxicity of lactic acid to birds, the following tests are required using the technical grade material: Two subacute dietary studies on one species of waterfowl (preferably mallard duck) and one species of upland game bird (preferably bobwhite quail or ring-necked pheasant); an avian single-dose oral study on one species (preferably mallard duck or bobwhite quail).

The acceptable single-dose oral study for use in a hazard assessment is listed below:

Species	% ai	LD <sub>50</sub> (mg/kg)	Author	Date	Accession Number	Fulfills Requirement
Bobwhite quail	100	> 2250	Beavers	1986	265650	Yes

There is sufficient information to characterize lactic acid as practically nontoxic to birds on an acute oral basis. The avian single-dose oral Guideline requirement has been satisfied by the evaluated document.

The acceptable subacute dietary toxicity studies for use in a hazard assessment are listed below:

Species	% ai	LC <sub>50</sub>	Author	Date	Accession Number	Fulfills Requirement
Bobwhite quail	100	> 5620	Beavers	1986	265647	Yes
Mallard duck	100	> 5620	Beavers	1986	265649	Yes

There is sufficient information to characterize lactic acid as practically nontoxic to birds on a subacute dietary basis. The avian subacute dietary Guideline requirement has been satisfied by the evaluated documents.

Avian reproduction tests are required for an end-use product when birds may be subjected to repeated or continuous exposure, the product is stable in the environment, stored or accumulated in plant or animal tissues, or reproduction in terrestrial vertebrates may be adversely affected based on information from mammalian reproduction studies. Insufficient data are presently available to determine if this test will be required.

#### Precautionary Labeling

Labeling is not required at this time.

#### Effects on Fish

Two studies in two documents were evaluated under this topic. Both studies were acceptable for use in a hazard assessment.

Author	<u>Date</u>	Accession No.
Forbis, et al.	1984	265651
Forbis, et al.	1984	265652

The minimum lactic acid data required for establishing the acute toxicity to fish are the results from two 96-hour studies with the technical grade material. The studies should be performed on one coldwater species (preferably rainbow trout) and one warmwater species (preferably bluegill sunfish).

The acceptable toxicity studies for use in a hazard assessment are listed below:

Species	% ai	LC <sub>50</sub>	Author	Date	Accession Number	Fulfills Requirement
Bluegill	100	130	Forbis, et al.	1984	265651	Yes
Rainbow trout	100	130	Forbis, et al.	1984	265652	Yes

There is sufficient information to characterize lactic acid as practically nontoxic to fish. The Guideline requirement for the technical grade active ingredient (TGAI) has been satisfied.

#### Fish Early Life Stage

Data from a fish early life stage test may be required if the product is expected to be transported to water from the intended use site. Insufficient data are presently available to determine if this test will be required.

#### Precautionary Labeling

Based on the above data, precautionary statements for fish are not required.

#### Effects on Freshwater Invertebrates

One study in the document was evaluated under this topic. The study was acceptable for use in a hazard assessment.

Author	Date	Accession No.
Forbis, et al.	1984	265648

The minimum data required to establish the acute toxicity of lactic acid to freshwater invertebrates is a 48-hour aquatic study with the technical material. The test organisms should be first instar <u>Daphnia magna</u> or early instar amphipods, stoneflies, or mayflies.

The acceptable acute toxicity data on the technical material for use in a hazard assessment are listed below.

Species	% ai	LC <sub>50</sub>	Author	Date	Accession Number	Fulfills Requirement
Daphnia magna	100	750	Forbis, et al.	1984	265648	Yes

There is sufficient information to characterize lactic acid as practically nontoxic to aquatic invertebrates.

The Guideline requirement for a 48-hour EC<sub>50</sub> for freshwater invertebrates has been satisfied.

#### Aquatic Invertebrate Life Cycle

Data from an aquatic invertebrate life cycle test may be required if the product is expected to be transported to water from the intended use site. Insufficient data are presently available to determine if this test will be required.

#### Precautionary labeling

No toxicity statement is required.

#### Effects on Estuarine and Marine Organisms

No studies were evaluated under this topic. Data on the acute toxicity to estuarine and marine organisms are required to support the registration of an end-use product intended for direct application to the estuarine or marine environment or if it is expected to enter this environment in significant concentrations because of its expected use or mobility pattern.

Testing of technical lactic acid on marine/estuarine species may be required since the chemical is proposed for use on citrus, corn, cotton, and sugarcane, all of which are associated with large acreages in coastal counties. Available data are insufficient to determine if these tests will be required.

#### Effects on Beneficial Insects

One study in one document was evaluated under this topic. The study was acceptable for use in a hazard assessment.

Author	Date	Accession No.
Dingledine	1985	265646

The minimum data required to establish the acute toxicity of lactic acid to honey bees is an acute contact  $LD_{50}$  study with the technical material.

The acceptable toxicity study is outlined below:

Species	% ai	LD <sub>50</sub> (ug/bee)	Author	<u>Date</u>	Accession Number	Fulfills Requirement
Honey bee	100	> 100.4	Dingledine	1985	265646	Yes

There is sufficient information to characterize lactic acid as practically nontoxic to honey bees. The Guideline requirement for acute toxicity testing with honey bees has been fulfilled.

#### Precautionary Labeling

Based on the above data, no toxicity statement is required.

#### Plant Protection

No studies were evaluated under this topic.

Tier I testing is required for lactic acid to assess the potential hazard to both terrestrial and aquatic nontarget plants. The Guidelines requirement has not been satisfied.



Lactic Acid - Ecological Effects Disciplinary Review

#### I. ECOLOGICAL EFFECTS PROFILE

#### A. Manufacturing Use

#### 1. Avian Studies

An LC50 of > 5620 ppm was reported for the bobwhite quail, Colinus virginianus (Beavers 1986, Accession No. 265647). An LC50 of > 5620 ppm was also reported for the mallard duck, Anas platyrhynchos (Beavers 1986, Accession No. 265649). Lactic acid can be characterized as practically nontoxic to birds on a subacute dietary basis.

An LD50 of > 2250 mg/kg was reported for the bobwhite quail (Beavers 1986, Accession No. 265650). Lactic acid can be characterized as practically nontoxic to birds on an acute oral basis.

#### Aquatic Studies

For bluegill, <u>Lepomis macrochirus</u>, and rainbow trout, <u>Salmo gairdneri</u>, the <u>96-hour LC50</u> value was reported to be 130 ppm (Forbis et al., 1984, Accession Nos. 265651 and 265652). Lactic acid can be characterized as practically nontoxic to freshwater fish.

An LC50 of 750 ppm was reported for <u>Daphnia</u> magna (Forbis et al. 1984, Accession No. 265648). Lactic acid can be characterized as practically nontoxic to freshwater aquatic invertebrates.

#### 3. Nontarget Insect Studies

An acute contact LD<sub>50</sub> of > 100.4 ug/bee was reported for the honey bee, Apis mellifera (Dingledine 1985, Accession No. 265646). Lactic acid can be characterized as practically nontoxic to honey bees.

#### B. Formulated Product

No data were available on formulated product testing.

#### II. ECOLOGICAL EFFECTS HAZARD ASSESSMENT

Lactic acid, as a plant growth regulator, has the following proposed uses: almonds, apples, beans (green and dry), broccoli, cabbage, cauliflower, cherries, citrus, corn (sweet and field), cotton, grapes, lettuce, peppers (green and chili), pineapples, prunes, strawberries, sugarcane,

tomatoes, and walnuts. Depending on the crop involved, the purpose of lactic acid application is to increase fruit size, increase fruit set, hasten ripening, compress maturity, etc.

Rates of application range from 1.0 to 4.0 lb ai/A, with a typical application rate being 2.0 lb ai/A. One application is indicated for the following crops, to be made: during bloom (beans, corn [at tasseling], cotton [first squaring], peppers, and tomatoes); immediately following bloom (almonds, cherries, citrus, prunes, and walnuts); 1 to 3 weeks after thinning or transplanting (broccoli, cabbage, cauliflower, and lettuce). Two applications are indicated for apples (during bloom and 30 to 45 days prior to harvest), grapes (3 to 14 days postshatter and at veraison), and strawberries (30 days after transplanting and at midbloom). For pineapples, directions include a 500 ppm preplant dip for crowns and/or slips, followed 30 days later by foliar application, followed by a third application 30 to 45 days prior to Sugarcane use calls for a 500 ppm seed piece dip, followed by foliar application 30 days later, with a winter application to maintain stalk growth.

Ecological Effects Branch has no validated data from Exposure Assessment Branch on the fate of lactic acid in the environment. The following information was taken from EEB files.

### A. <u>Toxicological Properties</u> [References from Toxicology Branch]

#### 1. Acute Oral LD50

Rat (male) 4.9 g/kg (formulation) Rat (female) 3.5 g/kg (formulation)

#### 2. Acute Dermal LD50

Rabbit >2.0 g/kg (formulation)

#### B. Wildlife

Lactic acid has been characterized by EEB as practically non-toxic to birds on subacute dietary and acute oral bases. Acute data for freshwater fish and aquatic invertebrates indicate that lactic acid is practically non-toxic to aquatic organisms, as well.

At the maximum application rate of 4.0 lb ai/A, the following residues could be expected immediately after application:

Substrate	Residue (ppm)
Short range grass	960
Long grass	435
Leaves and leafy crops	500
Forage	230
Pods containing seeds	48
Fruit	28

These values are well below the bobwhite quail and mallard duck LC50's (>5620 ppm), and would not be expected to adversely affect avian species.

An inadvertent direct application to 6 feet of water at this same rate would result in a concentration of 0.256 ppm. The LC<sub>50</sub> value for both bluegill sunfish and rainbow trout was 130 ppm, and for Daphnia the LC<sub>50</sub> value was 750 ppm. Based on these data, residues occurring in water following an application at 4.0 lb ai/A would not result in adverse acute effects on freshwater aquatic organisms. Calculated residues occurring from runoff or drift would, of course, be lower than those resulting from direct application, so hazard through these exposure routes would also be minimal.

Due to a complete lack of validated environmental fate data, the above estimates constitute the only hazard assessment that can be made at this time. Also, due to lack of fate data, no assessment of chronic hazard can be made.

#### Endangered Species

#### 1. Avian

Using the endangered species trigger of 1/10 the LC<sub>50</sub> of the most sensitive test species (LC<sub>50</sub> >5620 ppm), and the EEC for short grass following application to apples at 4.0 lb ai/A (960 ppm), the level of concern could be exceeded.

There are several factors which mitigate the potential hazard to birds. First, the only crops subject to application at 4.0 lb ai/A are citrus and apples. Second, only one avian endangered species (Aleutian Canada Goose) has been identified with apples, and none with citrus. Finally, the LC50 was only determined to be greater than 5620 ppm; i.e., it is very possible that the EEC for short grass may not exceed 1/10 the avian LC50. In view of the above, acute hazard to endangered avian species is likely to be minimal. Due to a complete lack of environmental fate data, chronic hazard cannot be assessed.

#### 2. Aquatic

For freshwater fish and aquatic invertebrates, the trigger value  $(1/20 \text{ the } LC_{50})$  would not be exceeded using acute data. With the 4.0 lb ai/A rate for apples and citrus,



and an  $LC_{50}$  of 130 ppm, the hazard ratio would be 0.04:

Maximum expected residues from runoff would be 0.122 ppm and would result in a hazard ratio of 0.19:

On the basis of the above calculations, acute hazards to endangered species of aquatic organisms are not anticipated from the proposed uses. Again, in the absence of fate data, no assessment of chronic hazard can be made.

#### 3. Plants

One endangered plant species (Solano grass) has been associated with corn in California. In the absence of data on the effect of lactic acid on nontarget plants, potential hazard to this species cannot be addressed.

#### Precautionary Statements

Data reviewed to date do not indicate the need for any precautionary statements.

TABLE A GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR LACTIC ACID

Data Requirement	$ ext{Composition}^{1}/$	Proposed Use Pattern2/	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes, No, or Partially)	Accession No.	Must Additional Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B)?
§158.145 Wildlife and Aquatic Organisms	ganisms		÷		
Avian and Mammalian Testing					
71-1 - Avian Oral LD50	TCAI	Æ	Yes	265650	No
71-2 - Avian Dietary LC50					
a. Upland Game Bird b. Waterfowl	TGAI TGAI	A A	Yes Yes	265647 265649	NO NO
71-3 - Wild Mammal Toxicity	TGAI	A	$N/A\frac{3}{4}$		Mo
71-4 - Avian Reproduction	TGAI	A	Νο		Deferred $\frac{4}{}$
71-5 - Simulated and Actual Field Testing - Mammals and Birds	TEP	æ	Ø		Deferred $4/$
Aquatic Organism Testing					
72-1 - Freshwater Fish LC50					
a. Warmwater b. Coldwater	TGAI TGAI	A A	Yes	265651 265652	NO NO
72-2 - Acute LC <sub>50</sub> Freshwater Invertebrates	TGAI TEP	Ą	Yes	265648	ON
72-3 - Acute LC <sub>50</sub> Estuarine and Marine Organisms	TGAI	A	NO ON		$Deferred^{4/}$
72-4 - Fish Early Life Stage — and Aquatic Invertebrate — Life Cycle	TGAI ite	<b>&amp;</b>	<b>Q</b>		Deferred4/

TABLE A GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR LACTIC ACID (cont'd)

Data Requirement	Composition1/	Proposed Use Pattern <sup>2</sup> /	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes, No, or Partially)	Accession No.	Must Additional Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B)?
§158.145 Wildlife and Aquatic Organisms	ganisms				
Aquatic Organism Testing (cont'd)					
72-5 - Fish Life Cycle	TGAI	ď	No		Deferred $\frac{4}{}$
72-6 - Aquatic Organism Accumulation	TGAI	Ø	No		Deferred $\frac{4}{}$
72-7 - Simulated or Actual Field Testing Aquatic Organisms	TEP	Æ	No		Deferred4/

TABLE A GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR LACTIC ACID

		Proposed Use	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes,		Must Additional Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA Section
Data Requirement	Composition1/	Pattern2/	No, or Partially)	Accession No.	3(c)(2)(B)?
§158.155 Nontarget Insect					
Nontarget Insect Testing - Pollinators					
141-1 - Honey Bee Acute Contact LD50	act TOAI	Ą	Yes	265646	No
141-2 - Honey Bee - Toxicity of Residues on Foliage	TEP	Ą	Q.		$Mo^{7}/$
141-4 - Honey Bee Subacute Feeding Study	[Reserved] $^8/$				
141-5 - Field Testing for Pollinators	TEP	Ą	NO		$No^{7}/$
Nontarget Insect Testing - Aquatic Insects					
142-1 - Acute Toxicity to Aquatic Insects	[Reserved] $9/$				
142-2 - Aquatic Insect Life Cycle Study	[Reserved]2/				
142-3 - Simulated or Actual Field Testing for Aquatic Insects	[Reserved] <sup>9</sup> /				
143-1 - Nontarget Insect thru Testing - Predators 143-3 and Parasites	[Reserved]9/				

TABLE A
GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR LACTIC ACID

			Does EPA Have Data		Must Additional
		Proposed Use	To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes,		Data Be Submitted Under FIFRA Section
Data Requirement	Composition1/	Pattern4/	No, or Partially)	Accession No.	3(c)(2)(B)?
§158.150 Plant Protection					
121-1 - Target Area Phytotoxicity	TEP	A	N/A3/		NO
Nontarget Area Phytotoxicity					
Tier I					
122-1 - Seed Germination/ Seedling Emergence	TCAI	Ø	NO		Yes
122-1 - Vegetative Vigor	TGAI	A	No		Yes
122-2 - Aquatic Plant Growth	TGAI	A	No		Yes
Tier II					
123-1 - Seed Germination/ Seedling Emergence	TCAI	R	NO		Deferred $\overline{5}/$
123-1 - Vegetative Vigor	TGAI	A	No		Deferred $\frac{5}{2}$
123-2 - Aquatic Plant Growth	TGAI	Ą	No		Deferred $\frac{5}{2}$
Tier III					
124-1 - Terrestrial Field	TEP	Ą	No		Deferred6/
124-2 - Aquatic Field	TEP	Ą	NO		Deferred <u>6</u> /

# TABLE A GENERIC DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR LACTIC ACID

## Footnotes

1/Composition: TGAI = Technical Grade of the Active Ingredient; TEP = Typical End-Use Product.

2/The use patterns are coded as follows: A = Terrestrial, food crop; B = Terrestrial, nonfood; C = Aquatic, food crop; D = Aquatic, nonfood; E = Greenhouse, food crop; F = Greenhouse, nonfood; G = Forestry; H = Domestic outdoor; I = Indoor.

3/Not currently a requirement.

4/Deferred pending receipt and review of fate data.

5/Deferred pending results of Tier I.

6/Deferred pending results of Tier II.

7/As data from the acute contact study indicate low toxicity to bees, no further testing is required.

8/Reserved pending development of test methodology.

 $\underline{9}$ /Reserved pending Agency decision as to whether the data requirement should be established.